3 1 2004 E Under the F	Paperwork Reduction Act o	ıf 1995, no persor	U.S. Patent and T ns are required to respond to a collection of	rademark Office: I	PTO/SB/21 (04-04) through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE is it displays a valid OMB control number.	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number	09/852,47	09/852,475	
			Filing Date	05/10/200	05/10/2001	
			First Named Inventor	Warren A	Warren A. Ceroll et al.	
			Art Unit	3724	3724	
			Examiner Name	PRONE,	JASON D.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	0275A-00	00385	
		ENCLC	SURES (check all that apply)	<u></u>		
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After A	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Re: Tra	Comments On Statement Of Reasons For Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				uu,	auphoutoj, una postala.	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		·				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNA	TURE OF /	APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, (OR AGENT		
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Reg. No. Christopher M. Brock 27313		_		
Signature	Olimboph M Brock					
Date August 31, 2004						
	C	ERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING		
I hereby certify that t Service with sufficier Alexandria, VA 22313	nt postage as first	class mail in	simile transmitted to the USPTO n an envelope addressed to:	O or deposited Commissioner	with the United States Postal for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Typed or printed name Christopher		A. Brock		Express Mail Label No.	EV 533 145 474 US (8/31/2004)	
1/2//		1 2 /2				

Signature

Date

August 31, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/852,475

Notice of Allowance Dated

June 2, 2004

Filing Date:

05/10/2001

Applicants:

Warren A. Ceroll et al.

Group Art Unit:

3724

Examiner:

PRONE, JASON D.

Title:

Miter Detent Override For A Sliding Compound Miter Saw

Attorney Docket:

0275A-000385

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1-4 and 6-16 in the present application. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or

combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons For Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 31, 2004

Christopher M. Brock Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg